

## Formation of Unusual Seven-Membered Heterocycles Incorporating Nitrogen and Sulfur by Intramolecular Conjugate Displacement

Zhenhua Chen and Derrick L. J. Clive\*

Chemistry Department, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta T6G 2G2, Canada

derrick.clive@ualberta.ca

Received August 5, 2010

Baylis—Hillman alcohols derived from methyl acrylate or acrylonitrile and carrying an N-Boc group  $\beta$  to the hydroxyl (CH(OH)CHNBoc) can be converted into unusual sevenmembered heterocycles containing both nitrogen and sulfur by O-acylation (AcCl or EtOCOCl), N-deprotection (CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H), and reaction with CS<sub>2</sub>. In a modification of this process, when the original nitrogen is substituted in the form PhSCH<sub>2</sub>CON(Me), an azepine derivative is then generated. The ring closures occur by intramolecular conjugate displacement.

Intramolecular conjugate displacement<sup>1</sup>—the type of cyclization summarized by eq 1—provides a method for making both nitrogen heterocycles and carbocycles along the lines of eqs 2<sup>1</sup> and 3,<sup>2</sup> which show particular examples from the many that have been reported from this laboratory.<sup>1,2</sup>

In studying the nitrogen case, we found that one type of starting material, compound 7, failed to undergo ICD SCHEME 1. 5-Exo Trigonal Cyclization

SCHEME 2. Intermolecular Addition Followed by ICD

(to give 9), 1 presumably because such a process would violate Baldwin's rules.<sup>3</sup> In this particular case, an alternative pathway, attack on the methyl ester carbonyl, was followed ( $7 \rightarrow 10$ ; Scheme 1). The fact that compounds of type 7 would appear to be inherently protected against the intramolecular conjugate displacement pathway suggested that if an unsaturated electrophile could be found that is attacked rapidly by nitrogen to generate a new nucleophilic species, then cyclization would occur as illustrated in Scheme 2,  $11 \rightarrow 12 \rightarrow 13$ , where X=Y represents an electrophile, either by itself or as a subunit of a larger structure. We have examined a number of potential electrophiles (PhNCO, PhNCS, SO<sub>2</sub>, BnN=CH<sub>2</sub>, (Cl<sub>3</sub>C)<sub>2</sub>C=NBn, CO<sub>2</sub>, CS<sub>2</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>NCN) and have found that CS<sub>2</sub> satisfies the requirements and gives access to a range of 2-thioxo-1,3-thiazepine derivatives, unusual heterocycles of type 14. We can find only one example (14; EWG = R' = R'' = H,  $R = {}^{+}PPh_{3}$ )<sup>4</sup>—formed as a minor (15%) byproduct—of a substance closely related to this compound class.

The N-Boc amines we have used were all readily accessible via classical Baylis—Hillman condensation between the appropriate aldehyde and methyl acrylate (second column of Table 1, entries 1–7, 10, and 12) or acrylonitrile (Table 1, entries 8 and 9) in the presence of DABCO at room temperature (2–4 days, >69%). While this classical approach is not the only route to Baylis—Hillman alcohols,<sup>5</sup> it was convenient for the present study. The alcohols (except that

**7014** J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 7014–7017

Published on Web 09/15/2010

DOI: 10.1021/jo101539m © 2010 American Chemical Society

<sup>(1)</sup> Clive, D. L. J.; Li, Z.; Yu, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 5608–5617. (2) Wang, L.; Prabhudas, B.; Clive, D. L. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6003–6012.

**IOC**Note

TABLE 1. Baylis-Hillman Reaction, Acylation, and ICD Process

*ai*-PrNEt<sub>2</sub> gave **4d** (62%) and **4e** (8%); use of 2,6-lutidine gave only **4d** (66%). *b*2,6-Lutidine gave only **7d** (65%); use of *i*-PrNEt<sub>2</sub> gave **7d** (38%) and **7e** (30%). *a*Christian Streeth Streeth

of Table 1, entries 10 and 11) were then acylated (>73% yield), using AcCl/pyridine or (for entries 10 and 11) EtO-COCl/pyridine.

The acylated compounds 1c-11c were each treated with  $CF_3CO_2H$  ( $CH_2Cl_2$ , room temperature) to remove the N-protecting group and release the trifluoroacetate salt of the corresponding amine. Then  $CS_2$ , followed by Hünig's base or 2,6-lutidine, was added to a solution of the crude amine trifluoroacetate in MeCN. Sometimes 2,6-lutidine gave a better result; in the case of 4c, use of Hünig's base afforded a small amount of 4c (8%), but with 2,6-lutidine none of this

(3) While the pathway shown in 8 violates Baldwin's rules, we anticipated that 9 might still be accessible by the alternative sequence  $i \rightarrow ii \rightarrow 9$ .

byproduct was isolated. The results summarized in Table 1 show that, with an ester as the electron-withdrawing group and acetate as the leaving group, secondary aliphatic<sup>6</sup> amines undergo the ICD process, but a careful choice of base may be required to optimize the selectivity in favor of the 2-thioxo-1,3-thiazepine derivatives because a competing side reaction is formation of a 2-thiazolidinethione. For example, when 7c was treated with 2,6-lutidine and  $CS_2$  (1.5 h), 7d was formed in 65% yield, but with Hünig's base (ca. 12 h) 7e was isolated (30%) in addition to 7d (38%).

The nitrogen of the starting amine can be part of a ring or a chain. In the latter case, the reaction is more selective for formation of the seven-membered ring when the deprotected nitrogen is a secondary amine (4d/4e, 6d, 7d/7e); when the deprotected nitrogen is primary, a major side product is the 2-thiazolidinethione (5e). We noted that if the nitrogen carries an aryl substituent, 6 the ICD did not occur, presumably because of the suppressed nucleophilicity of anilines compared with aliphatic amines.

<sup>(4)</sup> Isoda, T.; Hayashi, K.; Tamai, S.; Kumagai, T.; Nagao, Y. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 2006, 54, 1616–1619.

<sup>(5)</sup> For another route, based on selenides, see refs 1 and 2.

<sup>(6)</sup> We examined one case where the deprotected nitrogen carried a *p*-methoxyphenyl ring; no addition to CS<sub>2</sub> occurred (see the Supporting Information).

**IOC**Note

As two products are sometimes formed, we determined if the reaction was under thermodynamic or kinetic control. When compound **7d** was subjected to the original conditions of the cyclization, it remained unchanged; likewise **7e** (generated by use of *i*-PrNEt<sub>2</sub> instead of 2,6-lutidine) was also unchanged. Consequently, the cyclizations must be kinetically controlled.

The outcome of the reaction is also sensitive to the nature of both the electron-withdrawing substituent and the allylic leaving group. The nitrile-acetate system 9c appeared to give only a five-membered ring (58%), for which the indicated stereochemistry is an arbitrary assignment, while the corresponding methyl ester-acetate 2c provided an almost identical yield (56%) of the seven-membered ring. In the case of nitrile 8c, reaction at -40 °C gave the single product 8d, while at room temperature an inseparable 4:1 mixture of two compounds, presumably 8d and the corresponding pyrrolo-[1,2-c]thiazole-3-thione, were formed. The allylic carbonate 10c afforded the cyclized product 1d in very high yield—95% versus 65% for the corresponding acetate 1c. Since in this case the use of a carbonate leaving group favored formation of the seven-membered ring, we examined the ethyl carbonate derived from 9b and found (Table 1, entry 11) that a 5:1 mixture of the seven-membered and five-membered products was formed at room temperature; this ratio was improved to 10:1 at -40 °C, implying the trend that a carbonate leaving group favors formation of the seven-membered ring. We also evaluated the consequences of using a poor leaving group, by making the methyl ether 12c; however, this produced only 12d, the product of Michael addition. Such a pathway had been observed before in related experiments done in this laboratory: use of a poor leaving group, as in 15, still produced the ICD product on treatment with Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>,<sup>2</sup> although at a lower rate than for the corresponding acetate, while the related substrate 16 underwent both Michael addition without loss of the siloxy group and the normal ICD process.<sup>2</sup>

As indicated above, we had examined a number of potential electrophiles and some comment on a few of them is in order. Reaction of 1c with CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H and then with Hünig's base and PhNCO served only to produce the urea 17 (71%), formed by simple intermolecular addition (Scheme 3). Closure to the ICD product 18 could be achieved, however, by further reaction with (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NK (THF, 0 °C), but only in 39% yield. Interestingly, the action of DBU and LiBr on 17 gave 20 (35%). In the pathway from 17 to 20 the first step might be an *intermolecular* conjugate displacement to afford 19, where the nucleophile is Br<sup>-</sup> or DBU.

Each of the final products listed in Table 1 is a cyclic dithiocarbamate, and it was obvious that the utility of the present

## SCHEME 3. Reaction with PhNCO

## **SCHEME 4.** Formation of Lactam

ICD process would be increased if heterocycles containing only a single heteroatom in the ring could be generated. To this end, we examined nitroethene,  $^7 \, (MeO_2C)_2CN_2/Rh_2(OAc)_4,^8$  and  $(MeO_2C)_2CHBr^9$  as potential formal electrophiles to capture the deprotected nitrogen. Our experiments with these compounds were all unpromising, except for the fact that they steered our attention toward a modified approach, which is illustrated in Scheme 4, and which simply involves attaching the final nucleophile (see the PhSCH\_2CO subunit of  $\bf 22$ ) at an earlier stage.

N-acylation of 2-(methylamino)ethanol with PhSCH<sub>2</sub>-COCl<sup>10</sup> (pyridine, 90%) and oxidation (Parikh–Doering, 62%) gave **23**, setting the stage for generation of the desired ICD substrate. This was accomplished as follows: reaction of **23** with methyl acrylate in the presence of DABCO gave alcohol **24**, and oxidation (MCPBA) and acetylation (AcCl, pyridine) then afforded sulfone **26**.

Surprisingly, on treatment with Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in 10:1 THF—MeOH the sulfone was converted (61%) into the enamide **28**, which was a single isomer whose relative stereochemistry was not established. One possible pathway for its formation is via the expected ICD product **27**, followed by double bond migration. Alternatively, elimination of acetate from **26**, followed by simple Michael addition, would also lead to

<sup>(7)</sup> Use of nitroethene gave only the result of an initial intermolecular Michael addition (100%) but none of the ICD product.

<sup>(8)</sup> Cf.: (a) Yamagata, K.; Okabe, F.; Yamazaki, M. J. J. Prakt. Chem. 1999, 341, 562–567. (b) Bagley, M. C.; Buck, R. T.; Hind, S. L.; Moody, C. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 591–600. (c) Falorni, M.; Dettori, G.; Giacomelli, G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1998, 9, 1419–1426. (d) Gibe, R.; Kerr, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 6247–6249. (e) Yang, M.; Wang, X.; Li, H.; Livant, P. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 6, 6729–6733.

<sup>(9)</sup> Wolfe, S.; Ro, S.; Kim, C.-K.; Shi, Z. Can. J. Chem. 2001, 79, 1238–

<sup>(10)</sup> Kennedy, M. G.; Moody, C. J.; Rees, C. W.; Thomas, R. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1991, 2499–2504.

the observed product 28. If this is the case, elimination of acetate must give largely (>61%) the Z isomer, and it is not clear why this should be so. When compound 1c, which we used as a model, was treated under conditions identical with those for 26, only acetate hydrolysis to 1b was observed (96% yield) and no elimination product was isolated, suggesting that formation of 28 does indeed occur via a true ICD pathway involving 27.

The reactions reported here extend the ICD<sup>1,2</sup> process to the generation of unusual seven-membered heterocycles. In a few cases a five-membered heterocycle is formed and we note that a number of potentially significant biochemical properties have been reported for related 2-thiazolidinethiones;<sup>11</sup> the properties of the new seven-membered system are unknown.

## **Experimental Section**

1-[(1,1-Dimethylethoxy)carbonyl]hexahydro- $\beta$ -hydroxy- $\alpha$ -methylene-1*H*-azepine-2-propanoic Acid Methyl Ester (3b). DABCO (591 mg, 5.28 mmol) was added to a stirred mixture of 3a<sup>12</sup> (400 mg, 1.76 mmol) and methyl acrylate (1.61 mL, 17.8 mmol), and stirring was continued for 3 days. The mixture was diluted with water (15 mL) and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (3  $\times$  15 cm), using 2:1 hexane-EtOAc, gave **3b** (440 mg, 80%) as an oil: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, microscope) 3434, 2928, 2854, 1722, 1689, 1676, 1478, 1441, 1414, 1391, 1165 cm<sup>-1</sup>;  ${}^{1}$ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 60  ${}^{\circ}$ C)  $\delta$  1.26–2.16 (m, 17 H), 2.88–3.03 (m, 1 H), 3.48–3.77 (m, 1 H), 3.79 (s, 3 H), 4.13-4.40 (m, 2 H), 5.58-5.89 (m, 1 H), 6.22-6.27 (m, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 60 °C) δ 25.4, 28.5, 29.0, 29.5, 30.3, 43.2, 44.5, 44.7, 51.7, 59.3, 60.6, 60.8, 74.5, 75.7, 79.6, 79.9, 125.8, 126.3, 140.3, 141.9, 155.5, 157.6, 159.8, 166.9, 167.3; exact mass m/z calcd for  $C_{16}H_{27}NNaO_5$  (M + Na) 336.1781, found 336.1780. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum consisted of broad signals, and we attribute the extra signals in the <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectrum to the presence of rotamers and diastereoisomers.

**β**-Acetoxy-1-[(1,1-dimethylethoxy)carbonyl]hexahydro-α-methylene-1*H*-azepine-2-propanoic Acid Methyl Ester (3c). AcCl (0.29 mL, 3.27 mmol) was added to a stirred mixture of 3a (341 mg, 1.09 mmol) and pyridine (0.47 mL, 5.45 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL). Stirring was continued for 3.5 h, and the mixture was diluted with water (15 mL) and extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (3 × 15 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel  $(4 \times 15 \text{ cm})$ , using 4:1 hexane—EtOAc, gave 3c (355 mg, 87%) as an oil: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, microscope) 2929, 2855, 1751, 1725, 1692, 1440, 1366, 1232, 1163 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 60 °C) δ 1.16-2.16 (m, 20 H), 2.81-2.90 (m, 1 H), 3.56-3.70 (m, 1 H), 3.78-3.81 (m, 3 H), 4.29-4.51 (m, 1 H), 5.67-5.81 (m, 1 H)2 H), 6.28-6.32 (m, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 60 °C) δ 20.9, 21.0, 24.8, 25.0, 28.5, 28.6, 28.8, 29.3, 29.7, 29.8, 30.1, 30.3, 43.4, 43.8, 51.9, 52.0, 56.8, 57.0, 51.6, 73.4, 74.0, 79.2, 79.3, 79.8, 80.0, 125.7, 126.0, 126.6, 138.6, 138.8, 155.4, 155.8, 156.2, 165.4, 165.6; exact mass m/z calcd for  $C_{18}H_{29}NNaO_6$  (M + Na) 378.1887, found 378.1890.

5a,6,7,8,9,10-Hexahydro-1-thioxo-3*H*-azapino[1,2-*c*]-[1,3]thiazepine-4-carboxylic Acid Methyl Ester (3d). CF<sub>3</sub>CO<sub>2</sub>H (0.18 mL, 2.36 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 3c (79 mg, 0.236 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL). Stirring was continued for 3.5 h, and the mixture was evaporated. The residue was dissolved in MeCN (5 mL), and  $CS_2$  (72  $\mu$ L, 0.944 mmol) and *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (0.13 mL, 0.980 mmol) were added. Stirring was continued overnight, and the mixture was evaporated. Flash chromatography of the residue over silica gel (2 × 15 cm), using 2:1 hexane-EtOAc, gave 3d (37 mg, 58%) as an oil: FTIR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, microscope) 2928, 2854, 1716, 1466, 1437, 1408, 1233, 1173 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 1.39 - 2.18 \text{ (m, 8 H)}, 3.21 \text{ (dd, } J = 12, 13.5 \text{ Hz},$ 1 H), 3.62 (d, J = 14 Hz, 1 H), 3.79 (s, 3 H), 4.15 (dd, J = 2, 14 Hz, 1 H),  $4.89 \, (ddd, J = 5, 9, 12 \, Hz, 1 \, H), 4.97 \, (dd, J = 5, 14 \, Hz, 1 \, H),$ 6.91 (dd, J = 2, 9 Hz, 1 H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  26.3 (t), 28.6 (t), 28.7 (t), 32.7 (t), 34.8 (t), 50.7 (t), 52.5 (d), 61.3 (q), 129.2 (s), 141.4 (d), 165.2 (s), 195.3 (s); exact mass m/z calcd for  $C_{12}H_{17}NNaO_2S_2$  (M + Na) 294.0593, found 294.0593.

**Acknowledgment.** We thank the NSERC for financial support.

**Supporting Information Available:** Text and figures giving detailed experimental procedures and characterization data of compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

<sup>(11)</sup> E.g.: (a) Alhamadsheh, M. M.; Waters, N. C.; Huddler, D. P.; Kreishman-Deitrick, M.; Florova, G.; Reynolds, K. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2007**, *17*, 879–883. (b) Yoneda, K.; Ota, A.; Kawashima, Y. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1993**, *41*, 876–881. (c) Znamenskii, V. V.; Grechka, I. I.; Ignatova, Yu. L.; Karimova, N. M. *Pharm. Chem. J.* **1996**, *30*, 617–619. (12) Beak, P.; Lee, W. K. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 1109–1117.